

केन्द्रीय संस्कृत विश्वविद्यालयः  
CENTRAL SANSKRIT UNIVERSITY



**CENTRAL SANSKRIT  
UNIVERSITY**  
New Delhi

---

**FEEDBACK  
ANALYSIS REPORT  
(2017-2022)**

---

[www.sanskrit.nic.in](http://www.sanskrit.nic.in)

## Analysis of feedback from teachers for all five years (2017-2022)

<i>Analysis of feedback from teachers (2021-22)</i>					
<i>Total no. of Teachers responded= 250</i>					
<i>Statement</i>	<b>Responses (in Percentage)</b>				
	<i>Excellent</i>	<i>Very Good</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Satisfactory</i>	<i>Unsatisfactory</i>
<i>1. Contemporary nature of the syllabus</i>	41.63	35.51	15.10	6.12	1.63
<i>2. Content adequacy in syllabus</i>	36.73	37.96	17.55	6.12	1.63
<i>3. Suitability of syllabus for both slow and fast learners</i>	33.47	36.73	21.63	6.94	1.22
<i>4. Completion of the syllabus in classroom teaching during the Semester/ Annual time framework</i>	42.45	31.43	20.82	4.90	0.41
<i>5. Availability of standard textbooks for the coverage of the syllabus</i>	31.84	33.06	23.67	5.71	5.71
<i>6. Evaluation system of syllabus.</i>	40.00	30.61	22.45	5.71	1.22
<i>7. Job readiness capability of syllabus</i>	34.69	33.47	22.04	6.53	3.27
<i>8. Syllabus of the University</i>	38.78	31.84	22.04	6.12	1.22
<i>9. Value content of the syllabus in making the students good citizens</i>	47.35	27.35	20.00	4.49	0.82
<i>10. University provides opportunities for continuous development of faculty</i>	36.73	32.65	20.41	8.16	2.04

**Teacher Feedback Analysis based on the above tables containing 10 parameters is given as under:**

### **2021-2022**

1. The response is >70% if two categories of 'Excellent' and 'Very Good' are combined. The response under 'Unsatisfactory' is a mere <1%.
2. The response is >67% if two categories of 'Excellent' and 'Very Good' are combined. The response under 'Unsatisfactory' is a mere <1%.
3. The response is >65% if two categories of 'Excellent' and 'Very Good' are combined. The response under 'Unsatisfactory' is a mere <1%.
4. The response is >68% if two categories of 'Excellent' and 'Very Good' are combined. The response under 'Unsatisfactory' is a mere <1%.

5. The response is >65% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
6. The response is >63% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.

<b>Analysis of feedback from teachers (2020-21)</b>					
<i>Total no. of Teachers responded=401</i>					
<b>Statement</b>	<b>Responses (in Percentage)</b>				
	<b>Excellent</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>
<i>1. Contemporary nature of the syllabus</i>	50.60	45.78	20.78	6.02	1.20
<i>2. Content adequacy in syllabus</i>	46.69	49.10	19.88	7.23	1.81
<i>3. Suitability of syllabus for both slow and fast learners</i>	43.98	43.98	26.51	9.04	0.90
<i>4. Completion of the syllabus in classroom teaching during the Semester/ Annual time framework</i>	53.31	41.57	19.58	9.04	0.90
<i>5. Availability of standard textbooks for the coverage of the syllabus</i>	48.19	37.65	24.10	10.24	4.52
<i>6. Evaluation system of syllabus.</i>	48.49	38.86	27.71	8.73	0.60
<i>7. Job readiness capability of syllabus</i>	46.69	37.05	26.20	13.25	1.51
<i>8. Syllabus of the University</i>	50.60	38.55	24.70	9.34	1.51
<i>9. Value content of the syllabus in making the students good citizens</i>	46.39	31.63	15.96	5.12	2.11
<i>10. University provides opportunities for continuous development of faculty</i>	54.82	40.96	18.37	10.24	0.00

**2020-2021**

1. The response is >90% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
2. The response is >89% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
3. The response is >85% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.

4. The response is >90% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
5. The response is >88% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
6. The response is >89% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
7. The response is >82% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
8. The response is >89% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.

<i>Analysis of feedback from teachers (2019-20)</i>					
<i>Total no. of Teachers responded=367</i>					
<i>Statement</i>	<b>Responses (in Percentage)</b>				
	<i>Excellent</i>	<i>Very Good</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Satisfactory</i>	<i>Unsatisfactory</i>
<i>1. Contemporary nature of the syllabus</i>	35.92	22.45	16.33	5.31	0.82
<i>2. Content adequacy in syllabus</i>	38.37	18.78	18.37	4.08	1.22
<i>3. Suitability of syllabus for both slow and fast learners</i>	31.43	23.27	18.78	6.94	0.41
<i>4. Completion of the syllabus in classroom teaching during the Semester/ Annual time framework</i>	37.55	24.08	13.06	5.71	0.41
<i>5. Availability of standard textbooks for the coverage of the syllabus</i>	37.14	15.92	17.14	9.80	0.82
<i>6. Evaluation system of syllabus.</i>	37.96	15.10	20.82	6.94	0.00
<i>7. Job readiness capability of syllabus</i>	35.92	15.51	20.82	8.16	0.41
<i>8. Syllabus of the University</i>	40.00	15.10	17.96	7.76	0.00
<i>9. Value content of the syllabus in making the students good citizens</i>	40.82	14.29	16.73	8.98	0.00
<i>10. University provides opportunities for continuous development of faculty</i>	46.53	0.00	23.27	11.02	0.00

**2019-2020**

1. The response is >58% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
2. The response is >56% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
3. The response is >54% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
4. The response is >60% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
5. The response is >53% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
6. The response is >51% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
7. The response is >50% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
8. The response is >54% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
9. The response is >53% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
10. The response is >56% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <2%.

<i>Analysis of feedback from teachers (2018-19)</i>					
<i>Total no. of Teachers responded= 10</i>					
<i>Statement</i>	<b>Responses (in Percentage)</b>				
	<i>Excellent</i>	<i>Very Good</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Satisfactory</i>	<i>Unsatisfactory</i>
<i>1. Contemporary nature of the syllabus</i>	38.78	30.20	13.47	6.53	0.82
<i>2. Content adequacy in syllabus</i>	30.61	35.51	16.73	6.53	0.41
<i>3. Suitability of syllabus for both slow and fast learners</i>	27.35	33.88	20.00	7.76	0.82
<i>4. Completion of the syllabus in classroom teaching during the Semester/ Annual time framework</i>	35.92	32.24	15.92	5.71	0.00
<i>5. Availability of standard textbooks for the coverage of the syllabus</i>	33.06	28.98	18.78	7.76	1.22
<i>6. Evaluation system of syllabus.</i>	31.84	31.02	18.78	7.76	0.41
<i>7. Job readiness capability of syllabus</i>	30.61	28.57	21.22	7.76	1.63
<i>8. Syllabus of the University</i>	30.61	31.02	18.37	8.16	1.63

9. Value content of the syllabus in making the students good citizens	35.10	30.20	17.55	6.12	0.82
10. University provides opportunities for continuous development of faculty	34.29	25.71	19.18	8.16	2.45

**2018-2019**

1. The response is >68% if two categories of 'Excellent' and 'Very Good' are combined. The response under 'Unsatisfactory' is a mere <1%.
2. The response is >64% if two categories of 'Excellent' and 'Very Good' are combined. The response under 'Unsatisfactory' is a mere <1%.
3. The response is >60% if two categories of 'Excellent' and 'Very Good' are combined. The response under 'Unsatisfactory' is a mere <1%.
4. The response is >67% if two categories of 'Excellent' and 'Very Good' are combined. The response under 'Unsatisfactory' is a mere <1%.
5. The response is >61% if two categories of 'Excellent' and 'Very Good' are combined. The response under 'Unsatisfactory' is a mere <1%.
6. The response is >60% if two categories of 'Excellent' and 'Very Good' are combined. The response under 'Unsatisfactory' is a mere <1%.
7. The response is >58% if two categories of 'Excellent' and 'Very Good' are combined. The response under 'Unsatisfactory' is a mere <1%.
8. The response is >60% if two categories of 'Excellent' and 'Very Good' are combined. The response under 'Unsatisfactory' is a mere <1%.
9. The response is >55% if two categories of 'Excellent' and 'Very Good' are combined. The response under 'Unsatisfactory' is a mere <1%.
10. The response is >67% if two categories of 'Excellent' and 'Very Good' are combined. The response under 'Unsatisfactory' is a mere <2%.

<i>Analysis of feedback from teachers (2017-18)</i>					
<i>Total no. of Teachers responded= 12</i>					
<i>Statement</i>	<b>Responses (in Percentage)</b>				
	<i>Excellent</i>	<i>Very Good</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Satisfactory</i>	<i>Unsatisfactory</i>
1. Contemporary nature of the syllabus	37.14	32.65	14.29	4.49	1.63
2. Content adequacy in syllabus	33.88	33.88	15.92	4.08	2.45
3. Suitability of syllabus for both slow and fast learners	28.16	34.69	20.41	5.31	1.63
4. Completion of the syllabus in classroom teaching during the Semester/ Annual time framework	35.51	33.88	15.51	4.49	0.82

5. Availability of standard textbooks for the coverage of the syllabus	32.24	32.24	15.51	6.94	3.27
6. Evaluation system of syllabus.	33.47	28.57	20.82	6.94	0.41
7. Job readiness capability of syllabus	29.80	28.16	24.08	6.53	1.63
8.Syllabus of the University	31.58	35.53	18.42	15.79	7.89
9. Value content of the syllabus in making the students good citizens	38.16	34.21	13.16	15.79	7.89
10. University provides opportunities for continuous development of faculty	32.89	31.58	15.79	23.68	7.89

**Teacher Feedback Analysis based on the above tables containing 10 parameters is given as under:**

**2017-2018**

1. The response is >68% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
2. The response is >66% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
3. The response is >61% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
4. The response is >67% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
5. The response is >63% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
6. The response is >61% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
7. The response is >56% if two categories of ‘Excellent’ and ‘Very Good’ are combined. The response under ‘Unsatisfactory’ is a mere <1%.
8. Feedback is not available from parameter Nos. 8 to 10.

**Assessment:**

A careful study of this statistical data shows that the performance of teachers is excellent in some areas like Quality of Teaching, Infrastructure in campus, Fee Structure, Parent-Student Relation, Student Discipline and Adopted Examination system.

There is necessity for more improvement in the focus of the teachers to address the shortcomings in parameters like Nonteaching Staff-Student Relationship, Financial aid, Relevance of Course Content and Co curricular and Extracurricular activities.

In overall assessment based on the above feedback of the teachers they should concentrate more on the activities of students, especially on the students who are backward in studies and in extra-curricular activities etc. So the teachers have to go extra mile in taking care of the students in areas they are not at par with the students with advanced skills.